

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 RODERICK WISE, an individual,
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 SOUTHERN TIER EXPRESS, INC., a New
8 York corporation; DOES I through X; and
9 ROE CORPORATIONS I through X,
inclusive,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01219-APG-PAL

**ORDER DENYING MOTION IN
LIMINE NO. 10 (DUPLICITOUS EXPERTS)**

(ECF No. 89)

11 Plaintiff Roderick Wise moves to prohibit defendant Southern Tier from offering
12 testimony from both Dr. Selznick and Dr. Forage, alleging that their areas of testimony are
13 overlapping and redundant. ECF No. 89. Southern Tier points out that the two doctors have
14 different disciplines (orthopedic surgery and neurosurgery), and that Dr. Forage was added only
15 after Wise brought Dr. Gross into the case. ECF No. 104. At this stage I cannot determine
16 whether the testimony of the doctors will be duplicative. Thus, I will deny the motion without
17 prejudice. However, all parties are warned that duplicative testimony will not be allowed at trial.
18 The parties are to streamline their trial presentations to avoid redundancy, especially regarding
19 expert testimony.

20 Therefore, Wise's motion in limine (ECF No. 89) is **DENIED WITHOUT**
21 **PREJUDICE.**

22 DATED this 10th day of July, 2017.

23 
24 ANDREW P. GORDON
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28